Supporting the Future of the Summer Flounder Fishery

Workshop 1 Welcome, Our vision, Introductions

Our Vision –

Proactive, hopeful approach to address opportunities and risks

Co-development of fishery management strategies via...

Structured Decision Making & Management Strategy Evaluation...

A participatory, facilitated process to:

- define our **goal** for the process
- define management decisions
- identify objectives to consider
- suggest management strategies
- specify model components
- evaluate trade-offs between objectives



Respect



Empathy



Roles

Role	Vested or Neutral	Role in PrOACT Process					
		Problem Definition	Objectives	Alternatives	Consequences	Trade-offs & Optimization	Decision Selection
Champion	Vested	Yes	Yes	Yes	Guidance	Yes	Guidance
Facilitator	Neutral	Facilitation	Facilitation	Facilitation	Facilitation	Facilitation	Observer
Core Group Participant	Vested	Yes	Yes (Per DM)	Yes	Guidance	Yes (Per DM)	Guidance (Per DM)
System Expert	Neutral	Observer	Observer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Per DM
Decision Analyst	Neutral	Guidance	Guidance	Yes	Guidance	Yes	Guidance (Per DM)
Decision Maker (Council)	Vested	Yes	Yes	Yes	Observer	Yes	Yes

Expectations

- Decision outcomes
 - Decision 1 what products will be produced from this process
 - Decision 2 what recommendations are passed on from this process
 - Decision 3 what changes (if any) are made to fishing regulations

Products

- Simulation Model
 - Fishery model
 - Management model
 - Implementation model
 - Fishery behavior model
- Decision Analysis

Recommendations

• Will depend on the results of this process

• Likely to include

- Lessons learned for future decision processes
- Set of objectives for the fishery and their importance
- Relative performance of proposed management strategies
- May include
 - A recommended, or recommended set from which to select
 - A preferred management strategy

Workshops

<u>Workshop 1</u>: Participating in Management Strategy Evaluation: objectives, alternative management strategies, and simulation models

<u>Workshop 2</u>: Perspectives on the application of Management Strategy Evaluation to the summer flounder recreational fishery

<u>Workshop 3</u>: Bringing it all together: results, implications, and next steps.

Workshop 1 overview

Session 1 – This is happening

Understand the structure of the management strategy evaluation process.

Develop a common understanding of the decision

Understand how to provide objectives and alternatives

Prep for Session 2

- 1. Create your list of objectives
 - a. Identify measurable attributes
- 2. Create your list of alternatives
 - a. Identify strategies

Session 2 – July 14th

Develop prototype objectives and alternatives

Examine how simulation results inform fishery management

Workshop 1 interaction

<u>Webex</u>

- If possible, camera on please
- Raise hand to speak
- or type comments and questions in the chat
- Message Brandon with technical issues
 <u>Notes</u>
- Kaili and Annabelle will lead
 - Everyone's responsibility
 - Live action note taking









66 Amazing person, I'd like to introduce you to another amazing person.

Introductions



3 minutes each

- Who you are, how you prefer to be addressed
- Your decision statement
- Your summer flounder story; why that decision statement
- Any other pertinent information you would like to share about yourself

Break

- Please return in 5 minutes
- We will restart at 6:35



Supporting the Future of the Summer Flounder Fishery

Workshop 1 Problem Definition – Developing Our Decision Statement



Structured Decision Making – PrOACT Loop





Decision Making is Values Driven

Problems are not simply technical or scientific

Decision statements reflect societal values such as:

- Use scientific knowledge
- Account for...
 - Economic impacts
 - Political implications
 - Cultural norms

Problem Definition & Decision Framing



1st and arguably the most important task



Sets bounds on the problem by identifying spatial, temporal, organizational, legal, and other relevant bounds



Determines which:

objectives are relevant alternatives are available



Provides a shared, explicit understanding of the problem

What Defines a Problem: Decision Framing



Why does the decision need to be made?



What is the decision?



Scope, Frequency, and Timing?



Are there constraints?



Single or multiple objectives?



Decision Framing is Hard

It's worth taking the time to get it right...

"A good solution to a well-posed decision problem is almost always a smarter choice than an excellent solution to a poorly posed one." ~ Ralph Keeney

"Never enough time to do it right... always enough time to do it over" ~ Anon.

Problem **Definition Steps** 8 Crafting a Decision Statement

- 1. Define the decision What resource allocation will occur?
- 2. Solve the right problem
- 3. Frame of the problem
- 4. Develop the problem statement
- 5. Revise as needed Almost always needed!

"Decide" ... "Who, ..." ... [action]

Working Time Craft a Shared Decision Statement

- 1. 15 min In breakout rooms
 - Create a single decision statement
 - Combines your initial decision statement with your fellow participants' statements
 - Write your shared decision statement in the notes
 - If time address background, scope, and framing to put the decision in context
- 2. 15 min Full core group
 - Create a single decision statement
 - Combines each group's decision statement into a decision statement for the full group

Mental Checklist:

• Tractability

 Is the problem tractable, can progress be made, will actions have effects or should effort be focused elsewhere?

Trigger Sentence

- why is it a problem now? Why focus on this rather than ...
- Why this problem, how important is it?

Decision Maker

- Who decides? Defines the perspective of the decision.
- If multiple decision makers, what process will be used to actually select an alternative

Stakeholders

- who is involved, who's objectives do we care about?
- What are the roles of the stakeholders

• Classify the problem:

- Uncertainty
- # of objectives
- How often (repetition)

- Boundaries of the problem, Scope
 - Geography
 - Social realm who of the public might be affected
 - Legal
 - Time frame (of the consequences)
 - Time frame (how long you have to decide)
 - Economy, budget
- Linked decisions
- Objectives:
 - Fundamental
- Alternatives:
 - Main strategies available
- Background/context
 - Legal, ethical, political or other constraints
- Clear and precise prose
 - Use unbiased languages

Good Problem Definition Process:

Think broadly, question assumptions, and consider the objectives

Supporting the Future of the Summer Flounder Fishery

Workshop 1 Why This Process? The Benefits of... Structured Decision Making (SDM) and Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)

Why Structured Decision Making?

Fallible common decision process



- Assuming the problem has defined itself
- Moving straight into Alternatives

Why Structured Decision Making?

Fallible common practice & Biases

Generic Biases:

- Priming
- Simplification
- Rationalization

Fallible common practice:

- Anchoring
- Availability
- Representativeness

Specific Biases:

- Statistics
- Economics

What makes decisions hard?



Sometimes you don't know all the possible alternatives



The objectives may be complex or contradictory, or in dispute

♦←● ↓ ●→■ The system dynamics may be poorly known



Even knowing all the other components, the solution (optimization) may be difficult to figure out

Prescriptive Decision Making



- What makes a decision good?
 - The process by which it was generated,
 - Due to luck, both good and back luck the ultimate outcome may not reflect the quality of the decision
- Can't always control outcomes
 - You can fully control the process
 - So, use a process that is expected to perform better than other processes

Why Structured Decision Making?



Process is:

Deliberate, Replicable, Transparent, Iterative



Problem decomposition Address issues one at a time Separate science and policy Recombine for decision making



Values-focused

Values (objectives) discussed before alternatives and analysis



Compatible with many analytical tools

Addresses uncertainty



Providing Input on Management Objectives

Objectives (what do you want?)

- ID values to achieve
 - e.g., I want a successful trip (fundamental objective)
 - e.g., I want a catch per trip (means objective quantifiable)
- Metrics
 - Quantitative measure of achievement
 - Used to compare the performance of alternative management actions
 - e.g., spawning stock biomass
 - e.g., Catch and catch stability



I want to see revenue like...



<u>Objectives</u>

Providing Input on Management Strategies

Strategies (What can we do?)

- ID possible strategies to evaluate
 - What should management do to achieve your objectives?
 - Be realistic, but creative and complete
- Examples
 - Constant catch or fishing rate
 - Threshold or ramped harvest control rule

How does our current harvest control rule perform?

What if we reduce harvest when abundance is low?

Alternatives

Providing Input on Model Components

- Assist model development
 - Evaluate model representation
 - Identify missing elements

<u>C</u>onsequences

- Important Unknowns
 - Data gaps
 - Variability in the system
 - Unresolved system complexity
 - Multiple models
 - Differing plausibility

Does this account for... changes to prey status?

I think the model that includes... migration is more plausible because...

Providing Input on Trade-offs

- Evaluate management strategies
- What tradeoffs or compromises are you willing to make?
- Weigh trade-offs
 - Combining apples and oranges
 - E.g., I prefer apples to oranges by 3:1



Catch stability from year to year is more important than total catch I would rather reduce harvest for 3 years than close the fishery for 1 year



Trade-offs



What to Expect from the Process

Finding a best "optimal" action - difficult

Success as everyone defined it may not be achievable Definitions of success may differ, we may value tradeoffs differently

- What actions are unacceptable
 - Eliminate obviously bad options (sometimes easier)
- Is there an acceptable management action?
 - A satisfactory option given compromise and tradeoffs

The decision may still be difficult or contentious, but this process helps Values-focused; Problem Decomposition

- Structured, explicit
- Transparent, more easily communicated
- Clarity about what was considered in the decision and why



Managers implement an action.

Break

- Please return in 5 minutes
- We will restart at 8:03



Supporting the Future of the Summer Flounder Fishery



Workshop 1 Objectives

Structured Decision Making – PrOACT Loop



Why Objectives?

Objectives are what you really care about

Well defined objectives are critical in order to:

- Create alternatives
- Compare alternatives
- Choose pertinent information
- Explain your decision to others

All structured decision making steps build from here

Common Fishery Management Objectives

<u>Benefits</u>

- Resource objectives
 - Abundance
 - Distribution
 - Diversity
- Resource use objectives
 - Catch
 - \$
 - Recreational enjoyment
- Social/cultural objectives
 - Distribution of benefits
 - Cultural legacy

<u>Costs</u>

- \$
- Time
- Human resources

- 1. Articulate concerns and wishes
- 2. Convert concerns to objectives
- 3. Structure objectives
 - a. Classify objectives
 - b. Distinguish fundamental and means objectives
 - c. Create objectives hierarchy
- 4. Create measurable attributes for each objective
- 5. Repeat as needed



- 1. Concern "Ending a trip without a catch is unsatisfying"
- 2. Convert to objective
 - "I want a catch per trip" This is a target, <u>not</u> an objective "maximize the chance of a catch per trip" - objective
- 3. Structure objectives
 - Means objective (means to a satisfying experience)
- 4. Create measurable attribute% of a catch per trip



Convert concern to objective – Direction + Thing you want Direction maximize (I want more of...) minimize (I want less of...) Thing you want description of what you value

Create measurable attribute – how to assess success

What is the unit of measurement?

E.g., Celsius or Fahrenheit for warmth of something

Be as direct and descriptive as possible

Supporting the Future of the Summer Flounder Fishery

Workshop 1 Alternatives

Good alternatives require...

- Imagination
 - Don't consider only 'practical' alternatives
 - Think about alternatives from many perspectives
- Creativity
 - Expand range of possibilities
 - Avoid preconceived limitations

Approaches to generating alternatives

1. Focus on fundamental objectives

- How to achieve an objective
- 2. Address conflicting objectives
 - How to achieve multiple objectives
- 3. Challenge apparent constraints
- 4. Consider the system
- 5. Create portfolios or strategies
- 6. Revisit objectives

3. Challenge apparent constraints

- Avoid anchoring
- Identify real vs. perceived constraints
- Expand scope and scale
- Assess feasibility & efficacy later

4. Consider the system Use a conceptual model

53

5a. Create alternative portfolios

- Combination of individual actions with elements being discrete or continuous actions (e.g., set of research projects, funding allocation)
- Each portfolio represents one alternative (e.g., stock portfolios)
- The consequences (benefits) from a portfolio may be greater or lesser than the sum of its constituent parts
- Constraints limit number of possible portfolios (e.g. total budget for allocation across projects)

5b. Create alternative strategies

- Based on themes -- Strategy Tables
 - List management options (alternatives) in columns under each theme in order of intensity by rows
 - Select coherent set to be a strategic portfolio
- Based on alternative hypotheses about limiting factors -- Influence Diagrams
 - Influence diagrams to visualize alternative paths linking outcomes with different management 'means'
 - Boxes & arrows link action strategies to objectives

Like building a sandwich

Reuben BLT Cuban Italian

Strategy Themes:	Habitat protection	Predator control	Enhance population	Monitor
Alternatives:	Status quo	Status quo Harvest	None	None
	Ban logging in critical habitat	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 10%	Maternity pens	Basic monitoring
	Develop linkage corridors	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 50%	Captive breeding	Enhanced monitoring
			Translocate	

Strategy: "Maintain Pop."	Habitat protection	Predator control	Enhance population	Monitor
Alternatives:	Status quo	Status quo Harvest	None	None
	Ban logging in critical habitat	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 10%	Maternity pens	Basic monitoring
	Develop linkage corridors	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 50%	Captive breeding	Enhanced monitoring
			Translocate	

Strategy: "On The Go"	Habitat protection	Predator control	Enhance population	Monitor
Alternatives:	Status quo	Status quo Harvest	None	None
	Ban logging in critical habitat	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 10%	Maternity pens	Basic monitoring
	Develop linkage corridors	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 50%	Captive breeding	Enhanced monitoring
			Translocate	

Themes→ ↓Strategies	Habitat protection	Predator control	Enhance population	Monitor
Maintain Pop.	Status quo	Status quo Harvest	None	Enhanced monitoring
On The Go	Develop linkage corridors	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 10%	Translocate	Basic monitoring
Increase Pop.	Ban logging in critical habitat	Increase harvest; reduce predators by 50%	Captive breeding	Enhanced monitoring

6. Revisit objectives

- Clarify and revise objectives
- Reconsider fundamental & means objectives
- Examine (and re-examine) values for missing objectives

Good alternatives...

- Address the future, not the past
- Are unique (distinct)
- Are creative; encompass a broad range of actions
- Are financially, legally, & politically reasonable
- Can actually be implemented by a decision maker
- Address all objectives

Workshop 1 overview

Session 1 – This is happening

Understand the structure of the management strategy evaluation process.

Develop a common understanding of the decision

Understand how to provide objectives and alternatives

Prep for Session 2

- 1. Create your list of objectives
 - a. Identify measurable attributes
- 2. Create your list of alternatives
 - a. Identify strategies

Session 2 – July 14th

Develop prototype objectives and alternatives

Examine how simulation results inform fishery management

Workshop 1 overview

Prep for Session 2

- 1. Create your list of objectives
 - a. Identify measurable attributes
- Create your list of alternatives
 a. Identify strategies

Create first lists by June 28th

virtual work session?

Review Questionnaire and Regional Workshop responses

Submit revised lists by July 11th

virtual work session?

Tips for creating objectives Consider your wish list Imagine your emotions in good and bad scenarios Ask for suggestions See Ch 3 from Smart Choices See Ch 5 from Give Yourself a Nudge Be patient with yourself Look to analogous decisions

Tips for creating alternatives

Create first; evaluate later Imagine you're an omnipotent decision maker See Ch 4 from Smart Choices See Ch 6 from Give Yourself a Nudge Be patient with yourself Look to analogous decisions

Brandon: <u>bmuffley@mafmc.org</u>

Jonathan: jonathan.cummings@gmail.com

Books: Smart Choices and Give Yourself a Nudge

MAFMC Website: https://www.mafmc.org/actions/summer-flounder-mse

Google Drive:

Core Group Folder: <u>https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1UWmEI9uIc2AZ3iZQY7S5SfzpivX8UcdB</u>

Agenda: <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1X6KeWFhJo6tnSoM5_WY8KmQEUNV54poH/edit#</u>

Notes: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wRyg9EPKBVT5lWj0VVp7w0-0EYYLUoGfQjpmrK_w7Pc/edit