## Short-term forecasts of species distributions for fisheries management





## Potential change in species distribution

Directional effect of climate change


## Governance Issues



## EAFM Guidance Document



## EAFM Guidance Document

## Example Climate-Related Policies and Recommendations

- Develop and evaluate approaches for MAFMC fisheries and their management to become more adaptive to change
- Use models to develop short-term forecasts and medium-term projections
- Identify new species likely to become established in the MidAtlantic (from the South Atlantic) and species likely to expand or shift distribution into waters under the jurisdiction of New England


## Species Distribution Shifts

- Collaborated with Morley et al. 2018 on Projecting shifts in thermal habitat during the 21st century project
- Highly informative and considered in a strategic way - i.e., EAFM guidance document
- This project allows Council to consider distribution change in a more tactical way
- Focus on Mid At species, but interest in South At changes - e.g. blueline tilefish


## Potential Council Application of Research

- Continued development and implementation of EAFM guidance document
- EAFM Risk

Assessment

Risk Assessment Update 2020

Table 4: Species level risk analysis results; $l=$ low risk (green), $l \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{low}$-moderate risk (yellow), mh=moderate to high risk (orange), $\mathrm{h}=$ high risk (red)

| Species | Assess | Fstatus | Bstatus | FW1Pred | FW1Prey | FW2Prey | Climate | OistShift | EstHabitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ocean Quahog |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfclam |  |  |  | 1 | I | 1 | min | mir |  |
| Summer flounder | 1 | , | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 | 1 | , | $\operatorname{lm}$ | mh | h |
| Scup | 1 | ! |  | , | , | - | $\operatorname{lm}$ | mh | h |
| Black sea bass |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | mh | mh | h |
| Atl. mackerel |  | h | h | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\operatorname{lm}$ | ml |  |
| Butterfish |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | h |  |
| Longfin squid | $\operatorname{lm}$ | lm | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 | t | 1 m | + | mh | $1$ |
| Shortfin squid | 1 m | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 m |  | , | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  | \% | 1 |
| Golden tilefish | - |  | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{mh}$ |  |  |
| Blueline tilefish | h | Ir | tht |  | $1$ | + | mh |  | I |
| Bluefish |  |  | h |  |  |  |  | mh | h |
| Spiny dogfish | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $1$ | $1$ | $4$ | $1$ | h |  |
| Monkfish | h | lm | 1 m |  | 1 | Im | 1 | min | , |
| Unmanaged forage | na | na | n |  | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ | na | na | na |
| Deepsea corals | na | na | na |  |  |  | na | nа | na |

Table 5: Ecosystem level risk analysis results; $l=$ low risk (green), $1 \mathrm{~m}=$ low-moderate risk (yellow), mh=moderate to high risk (orange), $\mathrm{h}=$ high risk (red)

| System | EcoProd | CommRev | RecVal | FishRes1 | FishRes4 | FleetDiv | Social | ComFood | RecFood |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-Atlantic | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  | h |  |  |  |  | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  |

## Potential Applications of Research (cont.)



| Ecosystem factors accounted | Assessment considered habitat and ecosystem effects on stock productivity, distribution, mortality and quantitatively included appropriate factors reducing uncertainty in short term predictions. Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are stable. Comparable species in the region have synchronous production characteristics and stable short-term predictions. Climate vulnerability analysis suggests low risk of change in productivity due to changing climate. | Assessment considered habitat/ecosystem factors but did not demonstrate either reduced or inflated short-term prediction uncertainty based on these factors. <br> Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are variable, with mixed productivity and uncertainty signals among comparable species in the region. <br> Climate vulnerability <br> analysis suggests moderate risk of change in productivity from changing climate. | Assessment either demonstrated that including appropriate ecosystem/habitat factors increases short-term prediction uncertainty, or did not consider habitat and ecosystem factors. Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are variable and degrading. <br> Comparable species in the region have high uncertainty in short term predictions. Climate vulnerability analysis suggests high risk of changing productivity from changing climate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

From MAFMC Scientific and Statistical Committee OFL CV Guidance Document 2020 https://www.mafmc.org/ssc

## Potential Applications (cont.)

- Council Actions
- Dynamic allocation strategies
- Stock Assessments and projections
- Ecosystem TORs and ESP for assessments
- East Coast Climate Change and Distribution S Shift Scenario Planning Project

- Marine Spatial Planning/Coordination
- Offshore wind and aquaculture development
- NOAA Fisheries Climate Ready Fisheries Management
- $7^{\text {th }}$ National Science Coordination Subcommittee
- Workshop Themes: Ecosystem indicators in assessments
- Fishing level advice for stocks experiencing distribution change



## Engagement with Council's EOP Committee and AP

Held a kick-off webinar in December 2019 to introduce research and get initial feedback on project goals and species considered

## Research Questions

1. Can dynamic range models forecast changes in species distributions?
2. At what time-scales do forecasts have skill (1-10 years)?
3. Does information on fishing pressure improve forecasts of species distributions?

## Focal Species

Summer Flounder, Illex Squid, Spiny Dogfish, Gray Triggerfish Considerations: relevant to Council management, range of life history types, current/future shifts likely, data availability

## Questions/topics for group to be thinking about

- What types model outputs and information would be most useful - in both content and format?
- How/where could this type of information be applied in our science and management processes and decisions?
- What might be missing or what other considerations should the team be thinking about?
- Do the initial outputs for summer flounder make sense? What does/doesn't?

Further shifts by 2100


## Fisheries management requires knowing where fish are
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## Fisheries management requires knowing where fish are

- Stock definitions
- Stakeholder
representation
- Spatial management
- Incidental catch
- Allocations


West Coast region
Alaska regio
Federal waters (generally extend from 3 to 200 nautical miles off the coast)

Mismatch in timescales



Adults


Adults

# Develop and test dynamic range models for near-term forecasts 

## Focal species
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Goals Open-access
forecast system

Learn how to use forecasts in management
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## Model overview
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## Research questions

1. Can dynamic range models forecast changes in species distributions?
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## Research questions

1. Can dynamic range models forecast changes in species distributions?
2. At what time-scales do forecasts have skill (1-10 years)?

## Summer flounder centroid — data
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## Summer flounder centroid - forecast
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## Research questions

1. Can dynamic range models forecast changes in species distributions?
2. At what time-scales do forecasts have skill (1-10 years)?
3. Does information on fishing pressure improve forecasts of species distributions?

## Best candidate model for summer flounder

| Model structure decision | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use fishing to inform mortality rate | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Incorporate age structure into process model | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Fit to length data to inform age structure |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Use stock-recruit relationship (instead of stochastic recruitment) |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Adults disperse among patches | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |
| Temperature affects recruitment | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |
| Temperature affects mortality |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Temperature affects migration *still under development |  |  |

## Most models fail model fitting checks



## Next steps

1. Repeat for shortfin squid, spiny dogfish, and gray triggerfish, developing additional model functionality along the way
2. "Compete" the best model(s) against traditional species distribution modeling methods
3. Formalize forecast evaluation
4. Package and share model code
