A Genomic View of Spisula Population Structure and Gene Flow

Hannah Hartung, MS candidate Matthew Hare, Associate Professor

Department of Natural Resources and the Environment Cornell University mph75@cornell.edu









Genealogical Species Diagnosis

Hare & Weinberg 2005



Genealogical Species Diagnosis

Guo et al. 2008



Hare & Weinberg 2005



Double digest RADseq genomic sampling schematic

Rare cut restriction enzyme e.g.:CATATGCommon cut restriction enzyme e.g.:GTAC



Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE (2012) Double Digest RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-Model Species. PLOS ONE 7(5): e37135. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037135 https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0037135

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms = SNPs



Principal component analysis of both subspecies; 4.7k SNPs



OTU Operational Taxonomic Unit

an operational definition to classify groups of closely related individuals



UPGMA Phylogram; 4.7k SNPs

SNAPP Densitree from multispecies coalescent; 4.7k SNPs



PCA of S.s.solidissima OTUs A and B; 7.6K SNPs





S.s. solidissima admixture analysis, K = 2



$SNPs \rightarrow Haplotypes$

CMP^CMP^CMP^CMP^CMP^123456Haplotype 1 CAGATCGCTGAATGAATCGCATCTGTACAAGTHaplotype 2 CAGATCGCTGAATGGATCCCATCAGTACAGCAHaplotype 3 CGGATTGCTGCATGGATCCCATCAGTGTCGCAHaplotype 4 CGGATTGCTGCATGAATCGCATCTGTGTCAGT

S.s. solidissima Genetic Diversity; 2.5k haplotype loci



S.s. solidissima Genetic Diversity; 2.5k haplotype loci



Gene Flow Expectations: Isolation by Distance



Gene Flow Expectations: Isolation by Distance





S.s. solidissima Gene Flow – OTU B

EEMS



OTU B

S.s. solidissima OTU B Gene Flow

EEMS





S.s. solidissima OTU A Gene Flow

EEMS





Preliminary von Bertalanffy curve for OTUs A and B

Solidissima Growth by OTU Genotype



solidissima genotypes A & B von Bertalanffy preliminary growth curves

Thanks to Eric Robillard at NEFSC for working 40 of our shell specimens into their ageing cue, and to Dan Hennen (NEFSC) for helping make this happen!

<u>Genotype B:</u> Georges Bank Nantucket Shoals New Jersey/Delmarva Cape Cod Bay Long Island south shore

Genotype A:

OTU

Long Island south shore Southern New England

PCA for S.s. similis; 12.7k SNPs



Cluster Analysis using Admixture Model *similis* haplotypes STRUCTURE *K*=2 model best fit



1.25k haplotype loci





Conclusions

- 1. S.s. solidissima and S.s. similis are full species under the biological species concept.
- 2. S.s. solidissima contains two cryptic, partially sympatric OTUs, diverged at the level of subspecies and hybridizing in some nearshore areas.
- 3. Continental shelf populations of *S.s. solidissima* seem to be entirely OTU B with high gene flow across all fished stocks.
 - a. Cape Cod Bay OTU B solidissima is the least connected OTU B population, but still has gene flow connections with shelf populations of OTU B
 - b. Cape Cod Bay OTU B solidissima is experiencing hybridization with OTU A at a high rate
- *4. S.s. solidissima* OTU A has unknown habitat limits and life history (most sampling for this study was very nearshore).

Acknowledgements

Jessica Coakley, MAFMC

Daniel Hennen, Nicole Charriere, Nancy Mchugh, Charles Keith -NOAA/NEFSC

Matt Weeks

Daphne Munroe, Haskin Shellfish Research Lab, Rutgers

Sam Martin, Atlantic Cape Fisheries **Contact Information:**

mph75@cornell.edu

Open Questions

This project:

• What are the most diagnostic loci for OTUs A and B? How efficiently can they be genotyped?

For future:

- Are there morphometric differences between A and B?
- If A and B can interbreed, what are the important extrinsic repro isolating mechanisms?
- How do A and B differ in phenology, life history, temperature sensitivity, larval behavior?
- What is the proper taxonomy for S.s. similis, S.s. solidissima A & B?

F_{ST} between solidissima genotype A & B

