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## Potential change in species distribution
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- Stock definitions
- Stakeholder
representation
- Spatial management
- Incidentalcatch
- New fishery species
- Allocations

West Coast regionSoutheast region
Greater Atlantic region Federal waters (generally extend from 3 to 200 nautical miles off the coast)
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## Focal species



## Spoiler alerts: summer flounder models

1. Non-climate factors (fishing, dispersal) influence species distributions
2. Species distributions are highly variable, not marching up the coast
3. Dynamic range models can forecast distribution shifts with some skill

Model structure

Modelstructure
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## Temperature dependence
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This is a proof of concept, not a future forecast!
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## Bayesian network (DAG); $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow$ movement



## Bayesian network (DAG); T $\rightarrow$ recruitment



## Bayesian network (DAG); T $\rightarrow$ mortality
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## Forecast vs. reality: Mid-Atlantic Bight vs Gulf of Maine / Georges Bank



## Forecast vs. reality: abundance by patch



## Forecast vs. reality: 37-38 N




## Forecast vs. reality: best estimates



Estimated
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## Updates and next steps

1. All model features are programmed

Not shown: options to fit to length data or add a stock-recruit relationship
2. Summer flounder models are running on supercomputers at Rutgers this month
3. Ran traditional SDMs for comparison
4. Next up: formally evaluate and compare models
5. Other three species are in the works

## Our questions for you

1. If this was a future forecast, what would you do with it?
2. What types of information (for example, biomass in/out of Mid-Atlantic Bight) would be most useful?
3. Are there other data streams or parameter estimates you suggest we use, recognizing the generality / specificity trade-off?

## Potential Project Application(s)



## EAFM Guidance Document

## Example Climate-Related Policies and Recommendations

- Develop and evaluate approaches for MAFMC fisheries and their management to become more adaptive to change
- Use models to develop short-term forecasts and medium-term projections
- Identify new species likely to become established in the MidAtlantic (from the South Atlantic) and species likely to expand or shift distribution into waters under the jurisdiction of New England


## Species Distribution Shifts

- Collaborated with Morley et al. 2018 on Projecting shifts in thermal habitat during the $21^{\text {st }}$ century project
- Highly informative and considered in a strategic way - i.e., EAFM guidance document
- This project allows Council to potentially consider distribution change in a more tactical way
- Focus on Mid At. species, but interest in possible South At. changes


## Examples of Potential Science Applications

Less Uncertainty $\longrightarrow$ More Uncertainty

| Ecosystem factors accounted | Assessment considered habitat and ecosystem effects on stock productivity, distribution, mortality and quantitatively included appropriate factors reducing uncertainty in short term predictions. Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are stable. Comparable species in the region have synchronous production characteristics and stable short-term predictions. Climate vulnerability analysis suggests low risk of change in productivity due to changing climate. | Assessment considered habitat/ecosystem factors but did not demonstrate either reduced or inflated short-term prediction uncertainty based on these factors. <br> Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are variable, with mixed productivity and uncertainty signals among comparable species in the region. Climate vulnerability analysis suggests moderate risk of change in productivity from changing climate. | Assessment either demonstrated that including appropriate ecosystem/habitat factors increases short-term prediction uncertainty, or did not consider habitat and ecosystem factors. Evidence outside the assessment suggests that ecosystem productivity and habitat quality are variable and degrading. <br> Comparable species in the region have high uncertainty in short term predictions. Climate vulnerability analysis suggests high risk of changing productivity from changing climate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

From MAFMC Scientific and Statistical Committee OFL CV Guidance Document 2020 https://www.mafmc.org/ssc


- SOE risks to meeting management objectives
- Linking ecosystem indicators to distribution changes


## Examples of Potential Science Applications

- Inform research priority projects
- SSC priority area - Climate change impacts on stock productivity and distribution shifts
- Stock assessment information
- Ecosystem TORs and Ecosystem and Socio-Economic Profiles for assessments
- Stock projection considerations



## Examples of Potential Council Application

- Continued development and implementation of EAFM guidance document
- Comprehensive review this year
- Connection/link with Ecosystem Work Group

Risk Assessment Update 2020

Table 4: Species level risk analysis results; $l=$ low risk (green), $l \mathrm{~lm}=$ low-moderate risk (yellow), mh=moderate to high risk (orange), $\mathrm{h}=$ high risk (red)

| Species | Assess | Fstatus | Bstatus | FW1Pred | FW1Prey | FW2Prey | Clima | istShift | EstHabitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ocean Quahog |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfclam |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | mh | mb |  |
| Summer flounder |  | I | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 | , | , | $\operatorname{lm}$ | mh | h |
| Scup |  | 1 |  | 1 | + | $!$ | 1 m | ml | h |
| Black sea bass |  | 1 |  |  | I | + | mh | mh |  |
| Atl. mackerel |  | h | h |  | 1 | 1 | 1 m | mh |  |
| Butterfish |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | h |  |
| Longfin squid | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  | . | Im | I | mh |  |
| Shortfin squid | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  | h |  |
| Golden tilefish |  |  | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $1$ |  |  | $m h$ |  |  |
| Blueline tilefish | h | h | Int |  |  |  | mh |  | $1$ |
| Bluefish |  |  | h |  |  | , | 1 | mh | h |
| Spiny dogfish | $\operatorname{lm}$ | 1 | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  |  | ! | 1 | h |  |
| Monkfish | h | $\operatorname{lm}$ | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  |  | , |  | min |  |
| Unmanaged forage | na | na | na |  | lm | $\operatorname{lm}$ | na | na | na |
| Deepsea corals | na | na | na |  |  |  | na | na | na |

Table 5: Ecosystem level risk analysis results; $1=10 w$ risk (green), $1 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{low}$-moderate risk (yellow), mh=moderate to high risk (orange), $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{high}$ risk (red)

| System | EcoProd | CommRev | RecVal | FishRes1 | FishRes4 | FleetDiv | Social | ComFood | RecFood |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-Atlantic | $\operatorname{lm}$ |  | h |  |  |  | 1 ml |  | h |

## Potential Management Applications

- Council Actions
- Dynamic allocation strategies/considerations (e.g. black sea bass)
- East Coast Climate Change and Distribution Shift Scenario Planning Project



## Research Application Questions for SSC

1. Comment on potential applicability of short-term forecasts of species distribution for stock assessment, science, and management purposes of MidAtlantic species. Consider potential implications for the SSC's OFL CV approach
2. Provide any research recommendations and inclusion of relevant data for future model development that could facilitate their consideration of factors influencing determination of ABCs .

> Feedback from the SSC and EOP Committee/AP (2/23 meeting) will be provided to the Council for consideration at April Council meeting

