

March 19, 2024

Mid-Atlantic SSC Meeting:

OFL CV Sub-Group Update

*John Boreman, Sarah Gaichas, Tom Miller,
Mike Wilberg, Olaf Jensen, Brandon Muffley,
Paul Rago*



OFL CV Sub-Group - Background

- Tasked with reviewing and suggesting edits to OFL CV guidance document last revised in 2020
 - Reviewed SSC comments from 2021-2023, previous SSC OFL CV decisions from 2017-2023, and recent research findings
- Sub-group met on three occasions (Nov. 6, Jan. 12, Feb. 27)
 - Results of those meetings are summarized on the SSC Google Drive:
 - Draft OFL CV guidance document with initial suggested edits
 - OFL CV sub-group background document – additional detail and context to suggested edits
 - All sub-group meeting notes and meeting information.

OFL CV Sub-Group – Today's Objectives

- Provide feedback to OFL CV sub-group on guidance document edits and recommendations
 - Support/or not for sub-group recommendations
- Primary recommendation topics:
 1. OFL CV Bins
 2. Dropping Criteria
 3. Criteria Tiering
- Discuss next steps

Draft Recommendations – 1. OFL CV Bins

- Are current OFL CV bins (60, 100, 150) still appropriate or are changes needed?
- Review of existing and new analyses looking at stock assessment uncertainty in region, across county, and world
- Empirical and theoretical information suggests 60% OFL CV may be overly optimistic
- Recommendation: no change to current bins at this time, but include new/stronger information regarding current MAFMC SSC bins and 100% OFL CV is default

Draft Recommendations – 2. Dropping Criteria

- Are existing 9 criteria informative, clearly defined, and/or needed?
- Reviewed previous SSC comments and application of each criterion in overall application/determination of OFL CV value
- **Recommendation:** drop 3 existing criteria for explicit consideration
 - **Criterion #7 – Informed by prediction error**
 - Outdated analysis. Relies on comparable baseline models; cannot be used when model changes (e.g., ASAP to WHAM) or data time series are revised (e.g., new MRIP). Future project?
 - **Criterion #8 – Assessment accuracy under different fishing pressures**
 - Confusing to interpret and may create perverse incentives
 - **Criterion #9 – Informed by simulation analyses or full MSE**
 - Minimally used to by SSC and minimally available to date
- As needed/available, any of these deleted criteria could be considered by SSC within remaining criteria

Draft Recommendations – 3. Criteria Tiering

- Are there ways to make the process more efficient and streamlined while retaining the deliberative, transparent, and open approach?
- Reviewed existing criteria and application over time – some criteria have more “weight” than others in determining final OFL CV value
- Recommendation: establish a 2-tiered criteria process
 - **Tier 1** – data quality, model appropriateness and identification, and informed by retrospective analysis
 - **Tier 2** – estimates informed by comparison with empirical/experimental analyses, informed by ecosystem factors, informed by appropriate stanzas in recruitment
 - Tier 1 criteria evaluated first and would set floor for overall OFL CV value
 - Quantitative scoring still not ready or appropriate for primetime.

Next Steps

- Sub-group scheduled to meet April 30th
 - Address SSC feedback and finalize recommended edits/updates to guidance document
 - Develop recommendations for “other tasks” assigned to sub-group
 - Short-term ABC projection assumptions
 - ABC review/check-ins
 - Status quo/interim ABC recommendations
- Full SSC to review and approve edits to draft guidance document and “other task” recommendations
- Council will review and approve SSC recommendations at June Council meeting
- SSC to use new OFL CV process at July meeting when making ABC recommendations