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## Summer Flounder, Scup, Black Sea Bass Advisory Panel Meeting



November 30, 2022

## Introduction

1:00-1:30 . Review meeting objectives, Percent Change Approach, and new analytical tools

## 2023 Scup Recreational Measures

## 1:30-2:40 Committee recommendations for 2023 measures

- Provide input to Council and Board on how to achieve the necessary percent change in harvest for 2023


## 2023 Black Sea Bass Recreational Measures

## 2:40-3:40

- Review recent recreational fishery information and Monitoring Committee recommendations for 2023 measures
- Provide input to Council and Board on how to achieve the necessary percent change in harvest for 2023


## 3:40-3:45 Break

## 2023 Summer Flounder Recreational Measures

- Review recent recreational fishery information and Monitoring Committee recommendations for 2023 measures
- Provide input to Council and Board on how to achieve the necessary percent change in harvest for 2023


## Meeting Objectives

- Review recent fishery
performance and MC recommendations for 2023 measures
- Provide AP input on 2023 recreational bag, size, and season limits


## Percent Change Approach

- Approved by Council and Policy Board for use starting with 2023 rec. measures for these 3 species.
- To be replaced with a new approach in time for 2026 measures.
- Target level of harvest is no longer the RHL.
- Target level of harvest will vary based on:
- RHL compared to a confidence interval around estimate of expected harvest under current measures and
- Biomass compared to the target level.

| Column 1 <br> 2023 RHL vs <br> expected harvest <br> under 2022 measures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | RHL greater than


| Column 1 <br> 2023 RHL vs expected harvest under 2022 measures | Column 2 <br> Biomass compared to target level (SSB/SSB ${ }_{\text {MSY }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| RHL greater than | Very high <br> greater than $150 \%$ of target |
| upper bound of expected harvest CI <br> (RHL underage | High <br> at least target, but no higher than 150\% of target |
| expected) | Low <br> below target stock size |
|  | Very high greater than 150\% of target |
| harvest CI <br> (harvest expected to be close to RHL) | High <br> at least target, but no higher than 150\% of target |
| close to RHL) | Low <br> below target stock size |
| RHL less than lower bound of expected harvest CI <br> (RHL overage expected) | Very high greater than $150 \%$ of target |
|  | High <br> at least target, but no higher than 150\% of target |
|  | Low <br> below target stock size |

Column 1
2023 RHL vs expected harvest under 2022 measures

RHL greater than upper bound of expected harvest CI (RHL underage expected)

RHL within expected harvest CI
(harvest expected to be close to RHL)

## RHL less than lower

 bound of expected harvest CI (RHL overage expected)Column 2
Biomass compared to target level (SSB/SSB ${ }_{\text {MSY }}$ )

## Column 3

Change in Harvest

Liberalization \% = difference between harvest estimate and 2023 RHL, not to exceed 40\%

Liberalization \% = difference between harvest estimate and 2023 RHL, not to exceed 20\%
at least target, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target

## Low

below target stock size

## Very high

greater than $150 \%$ of target

## High

at least target, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target

Low
below target stock size
Very high
greater than $150 \%$ of target
High
at least target, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target below target stock size

Liberalization: 10\%
Liberalization: 10\%

No liberalization or reduction: 0\%

Reduction: 10\%
Reduction: 10\%

Low Reduction \% = difference between harvest
Reduction \% = difference between harvest estimate and 2023 RHL, not to exceed 20\% estimate and 2023 RHL, not to exceed 40\%

## New Tools for Predicting Harvest

# -Recreational Demand Model (RDM) 

- Developed by Northeast Fisheries Science Center
-Recreational Fleet Dynamics Model (RFDM)
- Developed by RI DEM
- Use of these models is not required under the Percent Change Approach, but both are an improvement compared to past methods of using only MRIP data to predict future harvest.


## SSC Review

- Both models were reviewed by Council's SSC in September 2021 and have been improved since that time based on their recommendations


## Recreational Demand Model (RDM): Overview

Goal is to simulate trip outcomes under a given stock structure and set of management measures

## Model input

Biological inputs:
Historical/projected numbers-at-age stock assessment data

Catch-per-trip/catch-at-length distributions

## Economic inputs

Trip cost distributions
Information about angler preferences for
harvesting/releasing fish
Management measures

## Simulation algorithm

Simulate individual trip outcomes

Calculate fishing utility
Calculate angler welfare, angler effort, and subsequent harvest and discards

## Model output

Total recreational harvest and discards

Total angler welfare/other
metrics of fishing success

## Recreational Demand Model: Overview



## Recreational Fleet Dynamics Model (RFDM): Overview

- Aims to emulate response to regulation changes (how does harvest and/or discards change given adjustments to management measures)
- Use available data (MRIP, regulatory history, and stock information) to estimate how harvest and discards will respond to changes in management measures
- Multiple model configurations and combinations of variables were tested to determine best model for each species


## Recreational Fleet Dynamics Model: Data Inputs

- Data through 2021, but excludes 2020
- Regulatory variables (wave, bag, season length, minimum size)
- Scup with addition of mode
- Stock status and management variables (RHL, SSB, lagged R)

| d | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | E |  | F |  | G |  | MinLen | K <br> SeasonLel | L |  | M |  | Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State | $\checkmark$ | Year | $\checkmark$ | Wave | $\checkmark$ | Mode | $\checkmark$ | Catch | 7 | K.D | $\checkmark$ | Bag | $\checkmark$ |  |  | RHL | $\checkmark$ | SSB | $\checkmark$ | LagRecr | $\checkmark$ |
|  | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  | 2 | Private/ | Sho |  | 416 | D |  |  | 30 | 10 | 61 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |
| - | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  | 3 | Forhire |  |  | 8942 D | D |  |  | 30 | 10 | 61 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |
| - | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  | 3 | Forhire |  |  | 16136 | K |  |  | 30 | 10 | 61 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |
| 1 | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  | 3 | Private/ | Shc |  | 112373 | D |  |  | 30 | 10 | 61 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |
| 1 | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  | 3 | Private/ | Sho |  | 86421 | K |  |  | 30 | 10 | 61 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |
| 0 | CONNECTICUT |  |  | 2022 |  |  | Forhire |  |  | 28057 | D |  |  | 50 | 10 | 62 |  | 6.08 |  | 156947 |  | 0436 |

## RFDM Description - Scup

Harvest $=s($ Year $)+$ Mode $+s($ MinLength $)+$ $s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s$ (Season) $+s($ Bag Limit $)+$ SSB

Discards $=s($ Year $)+$ Mode $+s($ MinLength $)+$ $s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s($ Season $)+s($ Bag Limit $)+$ RHL

## Questions?

## Backup Slides

## RFDM Description - Black Sea Bass

Harvest $=s($ Year $)+s($ MinLength $)+s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s($ Season $)+s($ Bag $)+$ LaggedRecr + RHL

Discards $=s($ Year $)+s($ MinLength $)+s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s($ Season $)+s($ Bag $)+$ LaggedRecr + RHL

## RFDM Description - Summer Flounder

Harvest $=s($ Year $)+s($ MinLength $)+s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s($ Season $)+s($ Bag $)+$ LaggedRecr + RHL

Discards $=s($ Year $)+s($ MinLength $)+s($ Wave $)+$ State $+s($ Season $)+s($ Bag $)+$ LaggedRecr + RHL

